Guide for Review of Civil Rights-Related Program Requirements					
	for CPD Non-Formula Programs				
Name of Program Participant:					
Staff Consulted:					
Name(s) of		Date:			
Reviewer(s):					

NOTE: All questions that address requirements contain the citation for the source of the requirement (statute, regulation, NOFA, or grant agreement). If the requirement is not met, HUD must make a finding of noncompliance. All other questions (questions that do not contain the citation for the requirement) do not address requirements, but are included to assist the reviewer in understanding the participant's program more fully and/or to identify issues that, if not properly addressed, could result in deficient performance. Negative conclusions to these questions may result in a "concern" being raised, but not a "**finding**."

<u>Instructions</u>: This Exhibit is designed to evaluate the CPD's non-formula program participant's compliance with record keeping requirements pertaining to civil rights-related program requirements. The Exhibit covers any CPD program funded through the Department's Notice of Funding Availability (NOFA) competition, whether the programs are included in the annual SuperNOFA or "stand-alone" NOFAs published during the course of the year. This Exhibit is divided into eight sections: Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing; Displacement and Relocation; Beneficiary Data; Affirmative Outreach; Program Accessibility; Preferences; Minority and Women's Business Enterprises; and Eligibility for Future Funding.

CPD program participants and their subrecipients are required to maintain records as a condition of the grant award. The specific records required are enumerated in the "General Section" of the SuperNOFA as well as within the individual program NOFAs. Because the record keeping requirements can be written generically, the applicable Grant Agreement also needs to be reviewed in order to respond to this Exhibit's questions. Failure to maintain records is an indication of noncompliance with the record keeping requirements/regulations. While a lack of documentation may not imply discrimination, because the program participant's and subrecipients' data are a basis for further investigating compliance with nondiscrimination requirements, the CPD reviewer is responsible for transmitting this completed Exhibit (including any supporting documentation) to FHEO upon completion of the monitoring (see Sections 22-3 and 22-4 of the introduction to this Chapter).

22-1 09/2005

Questions:

A. AFFIRMATIVELY FURTHERING FAIR HOUSI
--

1.				
	[OS]	Does the program participant, when implementing the programs and activities funded through its competitive grant, carry out and maintain records on actions it has taken to affirmatively further fair housing in accordance with the requirements of the Grant Agreement and NOFA? [SuperNOFA, General Section; NOFA, Rating Factor for "Soundness of Approach" and Grant Agreement]	Yes	No
	Descri	ibe Basis for Conclusion:		
B. 2.	DISPL	ACEMENT AND RELOCATION		
	[OS]	For the period reviewed, did the program participant maintain records on		
	[00]	any persons displaced by any activities funded under the program(s)	Yes	□ No
		being monitored, including monitoring project occupancy lists	res	NO
		identifying the names and addresses of persons occupying the assisted		
		properties and/or occupying/moving into the assisted properties?		
		(NOTE: This requirement applies even if the <i>specific activity</i> that		
		caused the displacement was not Federally funded.)		
	Descri	ibe Basis for Conclusion:		

09/2005 22-2

[OS] a.	for the time period being reviewed, maintain records on households displaced by activities funded under the program(s), which include: i. race and ethnicity; ii. gender of single heads of households; and iii. addresses and census tracts of the housing units to which	Yes	No	N/A
 Describe	each displaced household relocated? Basis for Conclusion:			
		1		
[OS] b.	Is the required race/ethnicity information maintained in the format prescribed by OMB for Federal agencies (e.g., Form HUD-27061)?	Yes	No	N/A
d se H c p	For the time period reviewed, did the program participant's records document that displaced persons were provided with advisory ervices which include information on their rights under the Fair Housing Act to: relocate to residences in areas of non-minority oncentrations at their option; and provide referrals for minority persons to comparable and suitable decent, safe and sanitary eplacement dwellings not located in areas of minority oncentration?	Yes	No	N/A

22-3 09/2005

C. <u>BENEFICIARY DATA</u>

5.				
	[OS]	For the time period reviewed, did the program participant compile data on persons who had applied for, participated in, and/or benefited from, programs and activities funded in whole or in part with the program funds by the categories of race, ethnicity and, for single heads of households, gender (using Form HUD-27061, "Racial and Ethnic Data Reporting," when applicable)?	Yes	No
	Descr	ibe Basis for Conclusion:		
D. 6.	AFFIF	RMATIVE OUTREACH		
	[OS]	For the period reviewed, and if applicable under the relevant NOFA and Agreement, did the program participant:	Grant	
	pro	we an affirmative marketing strategy (e.g., a written strategy to market the ogram and its benefits to those least likely to apply without regard to race,	Yes	No
		lor, national origin, sex, religion, familial status, and disability)?		
	Descr	ibe Basis for Conclusion:		
	the the	cintain records of actions taken to affirmatively market the program to ose least likely to apply (including persons who are not "usual clients" of e participant)? Based on the prohibited bases and records to assess the sults of these actions?	Yes	No
		ibe Basis for Conclusion:		

09/2005 22-4

c. M	faintain records to assess the results of these actions?		
		Yes	No
Desc	ribe Basis for Conclusion:		
E. <u>PRO</u>	GRAM ACCESSIBILITY		
	For the time period reviewed, and as appropriate to the specific NOFA		
	and Grant Agreement, did the program participant comply with the new construction requirements, reasonable accommodation, and	Yes	No
	rehabilitation accessibility requirements of Section 504? [NOFA, Grant Agreement]		
Desc	ribe Basis for Conclusion:		
3. <u> </u>			
	he program participant comply with the requirements for accessible		
	nology to make their programs fully accessible to employees and the	Yes	No
publi			
	erNOFA or NOFA, General Section]		
Desc	ribe Basis for Conclusion:		

22-5 09/2005

r.	PREFERENCES
9.	

[OS]	Does the program participant have procedures for selecting one or more		
	of the statutorily targeted populations, if required by the applicable	Yes	No
	SuperNOFA, NOFA, or Grant Agreement?		
Descri	ibe Basis for Conclusion:		
	PRITY AND WOMEN'S BUSINESS ENTERPRISES		
0			
[OS]	For the period reviewed, did the program participant document its		
	outreach activities to minority business enterprises and women's	Yes	No
	business enterprises by maintaining:		
	(a) data on the racial, ethnic, and gender characteristics of each business		
	entity receiving a contract or subcontract of \$25,000 or more paid or		
	to be paid with program funds?		
	(b) data on the amount of the contract or subcontract?		
	(c) Documentation of affirmative steps taken to assure that minority and		
	women's business enterprises have an equal opportunity to compete		
	for contracts and subcontracts as sources of supplies, equipment,		
	construction, and services?		
	[24 CFR 85.36(e) or 24 CFR 84.44(b)(1)]		
Descri	ibe Basis for Conclusion:		

09/2005 22-6

Η.	<u>ELIGIBILITY FOR FUTURE FUNDING</u>
11.	

Ι.			
	Based on this review, is there evidence sufficient to warrant FHEO review of		
	program participant compliance with nondiscrimination requirements prior to determining eligibility for any future funding under this NOFA? (If "yes,"	Yes	No
	describe below.)		
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:		

22-7 09/2005